



NONMINOR'S NAME:  	CASE NUMBER:  
--------------------------	----------------------

8.  **The nonminor dependent's current placement is appropriate.**
  
9.  **The nonminor dependent's current placement is not appropriate.** The county agency and the nonminor dependent must work collaboratively to locate an appropriate placement.
  
10.  The nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan does include a plan for him or her to satisfy the the criteria in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 11403(b) to remain in foster care under juvenile court jurisdiction. The specific criteria it is anticipated the nonminor dependent will continue to satisfy are indicated below:
  - a.  Attending high school or a high school equivalency certificate (GED) program.
  - b.  Attending a college, a community college, or a vocational education program.
  - c.  Attending a program or participating in an activity that will promote or help remove a barrier to employment.
  - d.  Employed at least 80 hours per month.
  - e.  The nonminor dependent is not able to attend a high school, a high school equivalency certificate (GED) program, a college, a community college, a vocational education program, an employment program or activity, or to work 80 hours per month due to a medical condition.
  
11. The county agency  has  has not made reasonable efforts and provided assistance to help the nonminor dependent establish and maintain compliance with the conditions in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 11403(b).
  
12. The nonminor dependent  was  was not provided with the information, documents, and services as required under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 391(e).
  
13. The Transitional Independent Living Case Plan  was  was not developed jointly by the nonminor dependent and the county agency.
  
14.  For the nonminor dependent who has elected to have the Indian Child Welfare Act continue to apply, the representative from his or her tribe  was  was not consulted during the development of the nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan.
  
15. The nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan  does  does not reflect the living situation and services consistent, in the nonminor dependent's opinion, with what he or she needs to gain independence and sets out benchmarks that indicate how both will know when independence can be achieved.
  
16. The nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan  does  does not include appropriate and meaningful independent living skill services that will assist the youth with the transition from foster care to independent living.
  
17. The county agency  has  has not made reasonable efforts to comply with the nonminor dependent's Transitional Independent Living Case Plan, including efforts to finalize the youth's permanent plan and prepare him or her for independence.
  
18. The nonminor dependent  did  did not sign and receive a copy of his or her Transitional Independent Living Case Plan.
  
19.
  - a. The extent of progress made by the nonminor dependent toward meeting the Transitional Independent Living Case Plan goals has been:  excellent  satisfactory  minimal.
  - b.  The modifications to the Transitional Independent Living Case Plan goals needed to assist the nonminor dependent in his or her efforts to attain those goals were stated on the record.
  
20. The county agency  has  has not made reasonable efforts to maintain relations between the nonminor dependent and individuals who are important to him or her, including efforts to establish and maintain relationships with caring and committed adults who can serve as lifelong connections.
  
21. The county agency  has  has not made reasonable efforts to establish or maintain the nonminor dependent's relationship with his or her siblings who are under juvenile court jurisdiction.
  
22. The likely date by which it is anticipated the nonminor dependent will achieve independence is: \_\_\_\_\_.

NONMINOR'S NAME:  	CASE NUMBER:  
--------------------------	----------------------

23.  It appears that juvenile court jurisdiction over the nonminor may no longer be necessary and a hearing to consider termination of juvenile court jurisdiction under Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.555 is ordered
24.  At a hearing under Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.555 held on the date below, the juvenile court entered the findings and orders as recorded on the *Findings and Orders After Hearing to Consider Termination of Juvenile Court Jurisdiction Over Nonminor* (form JV-367) and juvenile court jurisdiction is terminated pursuant to those findings and orders.
25.  Juvenile court jurisdiction over the youth as a nonminor dependent is continued and
- a. The youth's permanent plan is:
- (1)  Independence after a period of placement in supervised settings specified in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 11402.
- (2)  Other (*specify*):
- b. The matter is continued for a hearing set under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366(f) and Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.903 within the next six months.
26. **All prior orders not in conflict with this order remain in full force and effect.**
27.  **Other findings and orders:**
- a.  See attachment 27a.
- b.  (*Specify*):

28. **The next hearings are scheduled as follows:**

- a.  Nonminor dependent review hearing (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366(f); Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.903)

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept:	Room:
---------------	-------	-------	-------

- b.  Hearing to consider termination of jurisdiction under Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.555

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept:	Room:
---------------	-------	-------	-------

- c.  Other (*specify*):

Hearing date:	Time:	Dept:	Room:
---------------	-------	-------	-------

29. Number of pages attached: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDICIAL OFFICER